

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 1

**Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?**

**Summary Table**

**Base: All respondents**

		Statements		
		People who have committed offences such as theft or vandalism should be required as part of their sentence to do unpaid work in the community to pay back for what they have done	Victims of theft and vandalism should be given the opportunity to inform offenders of the harm and distress they have caused	Victims should have a say in how the offender can best make amends for the harm they have caused (by make amends we mean show remorse and work to put things right)
		1000	1000	1000
		1000	1000	1000
	NET: Agree	939 94%	876 88%	714 71%
Strongly agree	(+2)	812 81%	645 64%	488 49%
Moderately agree	(+1)	127 13%	231 23%	226 23%
Neither agree nor disagree	(0)	19 2%	34 3%	47 5%
Moderately disagree	(-1)	21 2%	44 4%	121 12%
Strongly disagree	(-2)	17 2%	30 3%	103 10%
	NET: Disagree	38 4%	74 7%	224 22%
	Don't know	4 *	16 2%	16 2%
	Mean	1.70	1.44	0.89
	Standard deviation	0.76	0.97	1.40
	Standard error	0.02	0.03	0.04

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 2

**Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?**

**People who have committed offences such as theft or vandalism should be required as part of their sentence to do unpaid work in the community to pay back for what they have done**

**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region				
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
NET: Agree	939 94%	452 92%	487 95%	115 96%	152 95%	174 92%	156 92%	139 93%	202 96%	252 93%	269 93%	197 94%	222 96%	233 92%	247 93%	233 93%	141 97%	85 98%
Strongly agree (+2)	812 81%	381 78%	431 85%	98 81%	135 85%	149 78%	129 76%	118 79%	183 87%	215 80%	229 79%	171 82%	197 86%	201 79%	218 83%	206 82%	117 81%	70 81%
Moderately agree (+1)	127 13%	71 14%	56 11%	17 15%	17 10%	25 13%	27 16%	21 14%	19 9%	37 14%	40 14%	25 12%	24 11%	32 12%	29 11%	27 11%	24 17%	15 17%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	19 2%	9 2%	10 2%	3 3%	2 1%	5 3%	3 2%	4 3%	1 *	5 2%	6 2%	5 3%	2 1%	11 4%	1 *	4 2%	2 1%	- -
Moderately disagree (-1)	21 2%	15 3%	6 1%	- -	5 3%	4 2%	4 2%	3 2%	5 2%	3 1%	11 4%	5 3%	2 1%	5 2%	7 3%	8 3%	1 1%	- -
Strongly disagree (-2)	17 2%	12 2%	5 1%	2 2%	1 1%	4 2%	5 3%	2 2%	1 1%	8 3%	4 1%	1 *	4 2%	3 1%	7 3%	4 2%	1 1%	1 2%
NET: Disagree	38 4%	27 6%	11 2%	2 2%	6 4%	8 4%	10 6%	6 4%	6 3%	11 4%	15 5%	6 3%	6 3%	9 3%	14 5%	12 5%	2 1%	1 2%
Don't know	4 *	2 *	3 1%	- -	- -	2 1%	1 *	1 *	1 *	2 1%	- -	2 1%	1 *	2 1%	2 1%	1 *	- -	- -
Mean	1.70	1.62	1.78	1.74	1.75	1.65	1.59	1.67	1.80	1.67	1.65	1.73	1.78	1.67	1.69	1.69	1.77	1.76
Standard deviation	0.76	0.87	0.63	0.66	0.70	0.84	0.91	0.78	0.62	0.82	0.82	0.67	0.68	0.77	0.84	0.81	0.56	0.61
Standard error	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 2

**Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?**

**People who have committed offences such as theft or vandalism should be required as part of their sentence to do unpaid work in the community to pay back for what they have done**

**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	Eastern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
NET: Agree	939 94%	40 89%	81 92%	71 91%	90 97%	122 95%	110 88%	90 99%	51 95%	86 92%	112 96%	85 98%	803 93%	85 98%	51 95%
Strongly agree (+2)	812 81%	40 89%	72 81%	61 79%	79 84%	108 84%	93 74%	72 79%	44 84%	78 84%	95 81%	70 81%	697 81%	70 81%	44 84%
Moderately agree (+1)	127 13%	-	10 11%	9 12%	12 13%	14 11%	17 14%	18 20%	6 11%	8 8%	18 15%	15 17%	106 12%	15 17%	6 11%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	19 2%	-	2 2%	-	1 1%	2 2%	9 7%	1 1%	1 2%	-	2 2%	-	18 2%	-	1 2%
Moderately disagree (-1)	21 2%	1 3%	4 5%	5 7%	-	1 1%	4 3%	-	1 1%	2 2%	2 2%	-	21 2%	-	1 1%
Strongly disagree (-2)	17 2%	3 7%	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	3 2%	1 *	-	1 2%	4 4%	* *	1 2%	15 2%	1 2%	1 2%
NET: Disagree	38 4%	5 10%	5 6%	7 9%	1 1%	4 3%	5 4%	-	2 3%	6 6%	3 2%	1 2%	35 4%	1 2%	2 3%
Don't know	4 *	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	4 1%	-	-
Mean	1.70	1.63	1.66	1.58	1.80	1.74	1.60	1.78	1.75	1.68	1.73	1.76	1.69	1.76	1.75
Standard deviation	0.76	1.13	0.83	0.97	0.59	0.73	0.81	0.45	0.71	0.93	0.64	0.61	0.78	0.61	0.71
Standard error	0.02	0.17	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.10

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 3

**Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?**

**Victims of theft and vandalism should be given the opportunity to inform offenders of the harm and distress they have caused**

**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region				
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
NET: Agree	876 88%	427 87%	449 88%	103 86%	137 86%	171 90%	148 87%	132 88%	186 89%	238 88%	257 88%	182 87%	200 87%	225 88%	222 84%	222 88%	131 91%	77 89%
Strongly agree (+2)	645 64%	305 62%	340 67%	69 58%	83 52%	123 65%	113 66%	101 67%	156 74%	169 63%	181 62%	139 66%	156 68%	171 67%	156 59%	167 66%	94 65%	58 67%
Moderately agree (+1)	231 23%	123 25%	109 21%	33 28%	54 34%	47 25%	35 21%	31 21%	30 14%	69 26%	76 26%	43 20%	44 19%	54 21%	66 25%	55 22%	37 26%	19 22%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	34 3%	23 5%	11 2%	9 7%	5 3%	5 3%	7 4%	4 2%	5 2%	9 3%	8 3%	10 5%	8 4%	3 1%	7 3%	17 7%	5 3%	2 3%
Moderately disagree (-1)	44 4%	21 4%	23 4%	5 5%	8 5%	7 4%	6 3%	8 5%	9 4%	10 4%	14 5%	9 4%	12 5%	19 7%	15 6%	3 1%	3 2%	5 5%
Strongly disagree (-2)	30 3%	15 3%	14 3%	2 2%	6 4%	3 2%	6 4%	5 3%	7 3%	8 3%	7 2%	6 3%	8 4%	3 1%	16 6%	5 2%	5 3%	1 1%
NET: Disagree	74 7%	37 8%	37 7%	8 6%	14 9%	11 6%	12 7%	13 9%	16 8%	18 7%	21 7%	15 7%	20 9%	21 8%	31 12%	8 3%	7 5%	6 7%
Don't know	16 2%	3 1%	13 3%	1 1%	4 2%	3 2%	3 2%	2 1%	3 1%	5 2%	5 2%	4 2%	2 1%	5 2%	4 2%	4 1%	1 1%	1 2%
Mean	1.44	1.40	1.48	1.36	1.28	1.50	1.46	1.45	1.54	1.44	1.44	1.46	1.43	1.49	1.27	1.52	1.49	1.50
Standard deviation	0.97	0.99	0.96	0.94	1.03	0.88	0.99	1.01	0.99	0.96	0.94	0.98	1.03	0.93	1.16	0.84	0.91	0.90
Standard error	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.10

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 3

**Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?****Victims of theft and vandalism should be given the opportunity to inform offenders of the harm and distress they have caused****Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	Eastern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
NET: Agree	876 88%	40 88%	77 87%	64 82%	78 84%	117 91%	108 85%	83 91%	47 89%	79 86%	105 89%	77 89%	751 87%	77 89%	47 89%
Strongly agree (+2)	645 64%	34 75%	59 67%	39 50%	56 59%	91 71%	80 64%	58 64%	36 67%	61 66%	73 62%	58 67%	551 64%	58 67%	36 67%
Moderately agree (+1)	231 23%	6 13%	18 20%	25 32%	23 24%	26 20%	28 22%	25 28%	12 22%	18 19%	32 27%	19 22%	200 23%	19 22%	12 22%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	34 3%	4 8%	7 8%	4 6%	2 2%	2 1%	1 1%	3 3%	2 3%	1 1%	7 6%	2 3%	30 4%	2 3%	2 3%
Moderately disagree (-1)	44 4%	-	2 2%	6 7%	4 5%	9 7%	10 8%	-	3 5%	4 5%	1 1%	5 5%	37 4%	5 5%	3 5%
Strongly disagree (-2)	30 3%	1 3%	2 2%	3 4%	8 8%	1 1%	2 2%	3 4%	1 2%	6 6%	2 2%	1 1%	27 3%	1 1%	1 2%
NET: Disagree	74 7%	1 3%	4 4%	9 11%	12 13%	9 7%	12 10%	3 4%	4 7%	10 11%	3 3%	6 7%	64 7%	6 7%	4 7%
Don't know	16 2%	* 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	-	5 4%	1 2%	-	2 2%	3 2%	1 2%	15 2%	1 2%	-
Mean	1.44	1.58	1.50	1.19	1.24	1.54	1.44	1.50	1.47	1.38	1.51	1.50	1.43	1.50	1.47
Standard deviation	0.97	0.89	0.87	1.08	1.23	0.87	0.98	0.89	0.96	1.15	0.80	0.90	0.98	0.90	0.96
Standard error	0.03	0.13	0.09	0.13	0.13	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.14	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.03	0.10	0.14

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 4

**Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?**

**Victims should have a say in how the offender can best make amends for the harm they have caused (by make amends we mean show remorse and work to put things right)**

**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region				
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
NET: Agree	714 71%	346 71%	369 72%	78 65%	113 71%	140 74%	117 69%	110 73%	156 74%	179 66%	206 71%	155 74%	174 76%	178 70%	177 67%	188 75%	112 77%	59 68%
Strongly agree (+2)	488 49%	240 49%	248 49%	42 35%	70 44%	96 51%	84 49%	74 49%	122 58%	110 41%	128 44%	120 57%	130 56%	116 46%	119 45%	140 56%	75 52%	38 44%
Moderately agree (+1)	226 23%	106 22%	121 24%	36 30%	43 27%	44 23%	33 20%	37 24%	34 16%	69 26%	78 27%	35 17%	45 19%	63 25%	58 22%	48 19%	37 26%	21 24%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	47 5%	29 6%	17 3%	9 7%	4 3%	7 4%	10 6%	9 6%	7 3%	13 5%	13 4%	10 5%	10 4%	8 3%	14 5%	15 6%	6 4%	5 6%
Moderately disagree (-1)	121 12%	58 12%	62 12%	24 20%	22 14%	22 11%	22 13%	13 9%	18 8%	41 15%	34 12%	27 13%	19 8%	33 13%	36 14%	25 10%	14 10%	13 15%
Strongly disagree (-2)	103 10%	53 11%	50 10%	10 8%	19 12%	20 10%	18 11%	14 10%	22 11%	32 12%	34 12%	15 7%	22 9%	31 12%	34 13%	19 8%	11 7%	7 9%
NET: Disagree	224 22%	112 23%	112 22%	34 28%	41 26%	41 22%	40 23%	28 18%	40 19%	73 27%	68 23%	42 20%	40 18%	64 25%	70 27%	44 18%	25 17%	20 24%
Don't know	16 2%	3 1%	13 2%	- -	2 1%	1 1%	3 2%	3 2%	7 3%	4 2%	3 1%	3 1%	5 2%	4 2%	3 1%	4 2%	2 2%	2 2%
Mean	0.89	0.86	0.91	0.63	0.78	0.92	0.86	0.97	1.06	0.70	0.81	1.05	1.08	0.80	0.73	1.07	1.06	0.82
Standard deviation	1.40	1.42	1.39	1.35	1.44	1.40	1.43	1.35	1.41	1.44	1.42	1.35	1.35	1.45	1.48	1.32	1.28	1.38
Standard error	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.15

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 4

**Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?**

**Victims should have a say in how the offender can best make amends for the harm they have caused (by make amends we mean show remorse and work to put things right)**

**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	Eastern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
NET: Agree	714 71%	37 81%	66 75%	50 64%	68 73%	93 73%	85 68%	66 72%	46 86%	59 63%	85 73%	59 68%	609 71%	59 68%	46 86%
Strongly agree (+2)	488 49%	31 70%	52 60%	36 46%	46 49%	61 47%	55 44%	46 50%	29 55%	37 40%	56 48%	38 44%	421 49%	38 44%	29 55%
Moderately agree (+1)	226 23%	5 11%	13 15%	15 19%	22 24%	32 25%	30 24%	20 22%	17 32%	21 23%	30 25%	21 24%	189 22%	21 24%	17 32%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	47 5%	2 5%	5 5%	5 6%	5 6%	3 3%	4 3%	4 4%	2 3%	4 4%	8 7%	5 6%	40 5%	5 6%	2 3%
Moderately disagree (-1)	121 12%	4 8%	10 11%	12 15%	13 13%	13 10%	19 15%	12 13%	2 4%	12 12%	12 10%	13 15%	105 12%	13 15%	2 4%
Strongly disagree (-2)	103 10%	2 5%	6 7%	9 12%	8 8%	18 14%	13 10%	8 9%	3 5%	17 19%	11 9%	7 9%	93 11%	7 9%	3 5%
NET: Disagree	224 22%	6 13%	16 18%	21 27%	20 22%	31 25%	32 26%	20 22%	5 9%	29 31%	23 19%	20 24%	198 23%	20 24%	5 9%
Don't know	16 2%	* 1%	2 2%	2 2%	- -	1 *	4 3%	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 2%	13 2%	2 2%	1 1%
Mean	0.89	1.33	1.12	0.73	0.92	0.82	0.78	0.93	1.28	0.54	0.93	0.82	0.87	0.82	1.28
Standard deviation	1.40	1.21	1.32	1.49	1.35	1.48	1.43	1.38	1.07	1.58	1.34	1.38	1.42	1.38	1.07
Standard error	0.04	0.18	0.14	0.18	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.05	0.15	0.15

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 5

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Summary Table**

**Base: All respondents**

		Measures						
		Better supervision of young people by parents	Unpaid community work	A prison sentence	Treatment to tackle binge drinking	Treatment to tackle drug addiction	Better mental healthcare	Making amends to victims
Unweighted base		1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
Weighted base		1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
NET: Effective		845 84%	765 76%	655 65%	618 62%	741 74%	800 80%	789 79%
Very effective	(+2)	561 56%	356 36%	349 35%	308 31%	425 42%	470 47%	412 41%
Quite effective	(+1)	284 28%	409 41%	306 31%	309 31%	317 32%	329 33%	376 38%
Neither effective nor not effective	(0)	51 5%	60 6%	69 7%	79 8%	55 6%	56 6%	53 5%
Not very effective	(-1)	55 6%	107 11%	200 20%	154 15%	107 11%	75 7%	80 8%
Not at all effective	(-2)	33 3%	46 5%	46 5%	85 9%	51 5%	17 2%	39 4%
NET: Not effective		88 9%	153 15%	246 25%	239 24%	158 16%	92 9%	119 12%
Don't know		16 2%	22 2%	30 3%	65 6%	45 5%	52 5%	39 4%
Mean		1.31	0.94	0.73	0.64	1.00	1.22	1.09
Standard deviation		1.03	1.13	1.27	1.33	1.20	0.99	1.08
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03



## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 6

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Better supervision of young people by parents**

**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region				
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
NET: Effective	845 84%	409 83%	436 86%	90 75%	139 87%	161 85%	148 87%	129 86%	179 85%	243 90%	255 88%	167 79%	180 78%	214 84%	229 87%	210 84%	124 86%	68 78%
Very effective (+2)	561 56%	267 55%	293 57%	42 35%	82 51%	112 59%	99 58%	90 60%	136 65%	174 65%	164 57%	97 46%	125 54%	142 56%	167 63%	141 56%	66 46%	45 51%
Quite effective (+1)	284 28%	141 29%	143 28%	48 40%	57 36%	49 26%	49 29%	39 26%	43 21%	69 26%	91 31%	70 33%	55 24%	72 28%	62 23%	69 28%	58 40%	23 27%
Neither effective nor not effective (0)	51 5%	23 5%	27 5%	15 13%	8 5%	8 4%	8 5%	7 5%	5 2%	9 3%	13 4%	16 8%	13 6%	6 2%	14 5%	19 8%	3 2%	9 10%
Not very effective (-1)	55 6%	27 6%	28 5%	6 5%	9 6%	13 7%	9 5%	6 4%	13 6%	7 3%	13 4%	13 6%	22 9%	18 7%	9 3%	11 4%	12 8%	6 6%
Not at all effective (-2)	33 3%	24 5%	9 2%	8 7%	2 1%	5 3%	4 2%	7 4%	8 4%	5 2%	6 2%	9 4%	13 6%	13 5%	8 3%	6 3%	3 2%	3 4%
NET: Not effective	88 9%	51 10%	37 7%	14 11%	11 7%	18 10%	12 7%	13 8%	21 10%	12 5%	19 7%	22 11%	35 15%	31 12%	17 6%	17 7%	15 10%	9 10%
Don't know	16 2%	6 1%	10 2%	2 2%	2 1%	3 2%	2 1%	2 1%	5 3%	5 2%	3 1%	5 2%	3 1%	3 1%	5 2%	5 2%	2 1%	1 2%
Mean	1.31	1.24	1.37	0.93	1.31	1.34	1.37	1.34	1.40	1.51	1.37	1.14	1.13	1.25	1.43	1.33	1.21	1.17
Standard deviation	1.03	1.10	0.95	1.13	0.91	1.03	0.95	1.05	1.06	0.85	0.93	1.09	1.22	1.13	0.96	0.98	0.99	1.10
Standard error	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.14	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.12

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 6

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Better supervision of young people by parents**

**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	Eastern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
NET: Effective	845 84%	37 81%	69 78%	63 81%	86 92%	112 87%	103 82%	81 89%	43 81%	80 87%	104 89%	68 78%	734 85%	68 78%	43 81%
Very effective (+2)	561 56%	19 42%	47 53%	44 56%	56 60%	64 50%	78 62%	45 49%	21 40%	67 72%	75 64%	45 51%	495 58%	45 51%	21 40%
Quite effective (+1)	284 28%	18 39%	22 25%	19 24%	30 32%	47 37%	25 20%	36 40%	22 41%	13 14%	29 25%	23 27%	239 28%	23 27%	22 41%
Neither effective nor not effective (0)	51 5%	3 6%	11 13%	7 9%	1 1%	5 4%	1 1%	2 2%	1 2%	6 7%	5 4%	9 10%	41 5%	9 10%	1 2%
Not very effective (-1)	55 6%	3 6%	2 2%	3 4%	1 1%	5 4%	13 10%	6 7%	6 11%	5 5%	6 5%	6 6%	44 5%	6 6%	6 11%
Not at all effective (-2)	33 3%	2 4%	4 4%	4 5%	3 3%	5 4%	7 6%	- -	3 6%	1 1%	1 1%	3 4%	27 3%	3 4%	3 6%
NET: Not effective	88 9%	5 10%	6 6%	6 8%	5 5%	11 8%	20 16%	6 7%	9 17%	6 6%	7 6%	9 10%	70 8%	9 10%	9 17%
Don't know	16 2%	1 3%	2 3%	2 2%	2 2%	2 1%	2 1%	2 2%	- -	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	15 2%	1 2%	- -
Mean	1.31	1.12	1.23	1.27	1.47	1.26	1.23	1.34	0.99	1.53	1.48	1.17	1.34	1.17	0.99
Standard deviation	1.03	1.07	1.06	1.09	0.89	1.01	1.24	0.83	1.19	0.90	0.85	1.10	1.01	1.10	1.19
Standard error	0.03	0.16	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.17	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.03	0.12	0.17

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 7

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Unpaid community work**

**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region				
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
NET: Effective	765	360	405	83	118	160	129	114	160	217	225	149	174	189	202	198	124	52
	76%	74%	79%	70%	74%	84%	76%	76%	76%	80%	78%	71%	75%	74%	77%	79%	86%	60%
Very effective	(+2) 356	159	197	40	44	71	68	50	82	91	109	59	97	82	93	107	54	20
	36%	32%	39%	33%	28%	38%	40%	33%	39%	34%	38%	28%	42%	32%	35%	43%	38%	23%
Quite effective	(+1) 409	201	208	43	73	89	61	64	78	126	116	90	77	107	110	91	69	32
	41%	41%	41%	36%	46%	47%	36%	43%	37%	47%	40%	43%	33%	42%	42%	36%	48%	37%
Neither effective nor not effective	(0) 60	30	30	14	14	8	6	11	7	15	20	11	14	9	20	12	8	11
	6%	6%	6%	11%	9%	4%	3%	7%	3%	6%	7%	5%	6%	4%	8%	5%	5%	13%
Not very effective	(-1) 107	56	51	13	21	14	22	13	24	24	25	32	25	37	19	23	8	19
	11%	11%	10%	11%	13%	7%	13%	9%	12%	9%	9%	15%	11%	15%	7%	9%	5%	22%
Not at all effective	(-2) 46	32	15	10	3	6	11	5	12	6	15	12	13	13	17	11	4	2
	5%	6%	3%	8%	2%	3%	6%	3%	6%	2%	5%	6%	6%	5%	6%	4%	3%	2%
NET: Not effective	153	88	65	23	24	20	32	18	36	31	40	45	38	51	36	34	11	21
	15%	18%	13%	19%	15%	11%	19%	12%	17%	11%	14%	21%	16%	20%	14%	13%	8%	24%
Don't know	22	11	11	-	5	1	3	6	7	7	5	5	5	5	5	8	2	2
	2%	2%	2%	-	3%	*	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%
Mean	0.94	0.83	1.05	0.76	0.87	1.09	0.92	0.98	0.96	1.03	0.98	0.74	0.98	0.83	0.94	1.07	1.14	0.58
Standard deviation	1.13	1.20	1.06	1.26	1.03	1.00	1.24	1.06	1.20	1.00	1.13	1.21	1.21	1.19	1.15	1.12	0.93	1.15
Standard error	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.16	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.12

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 7

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?****Unpaid community work****Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	Eastern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
NET: Effective	765 76%	33 72%	71 81%	48 62%	79 84%	102 80%	87 69%	82 89%	42 79%	76 82%	94 80%	52 60%	671 78%	52 60%	42 79%
Very effective (+2)	356 36%	17 37%	39 44%	26 33%	27 29%	44 34%	38 30%	32 35%	22 42%	39 43%	51 44%	20 23%	314 36%	20 23%	22 42%
Quite effective (+1)	409 41%	16 35%	33 37%	22 28%	51 55%	58 45%	49 39%	50 54%	20 37%	36 39%	42 36%	32 37%	357 42%	32 37%	20 37%
Neither effective nor not effective (0)	60 6%	3 6%	3 3%	10 12%	5 5%	5 4%	4 3%	4 4%	4 7%	6 6%	7 6%	11 13%	45 5%	11 13%	4 7%
Not very effective (-1)	107 11%	5 10%	6 6%	8 11%	5 6%	15 12%	22 18%	5 5%	3 6%	6 6%	13 11%	19 22%	84 10%	19 22%	3 6%
Not at all effective (-2)	46 5%	3 6%	7 8%	6 8%	5 5%	5 4%	8 7%	- -	4 7%	5 6%	2 1%	2 2%	41 5%	2 2%	4 7%
NET: Not effective	153 15%	7 16%	12 14%	15 19%	10 11%	20 16%	30 24%	5 5%	7 12%	11 12%	14 12%	21 24%	125 15%	21 24%	7 12%
Don't know	22 2%	3 6%	2 2%	5 7%	- -	1 *	5 4%	1 1%	1 1%	- -	3 3%	2 2%	19 2%	2 2%	1 1%
Mean	0.94	0.93	1.06	0.73	0.97	0.95	0.71	1.21	1.03	1.06	1.13	0.58	0.97	0.58	1.03
Standard deviation	1.13	1.21	1.20	1.30	1.02	1.11	1.27	0.76	1.17	1.13	1.03	1.15	1.13	1.15	1.17
Standard error	0.04	0.18	0.13	0.16	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.17	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.04	0.12	0.17

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 8

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**A prison sentence**

**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region				
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
NET: Effective	655 65%	329 67%	326 64%	92 77%	109 68%	114 60%	104 62%	94 63%	141 67%	156 58%	198 68%	144 69%	156 68%	164 65%	185 70%	159 63%	93 65%	53 61%
Very effective (+2)	349 35%	186 38%	162 32%	53 44%	42 27%	63 33%	56 33%	49 33%	84 40%	67 25%	105 36%	74 35%	102 44%	84 33%	104 39%	83 33%	50 34%	27 32%
Quite effective (+1)	306 31%	143 29%	163 32%	39 33%	66 41%	50 26%	49 29%	45 30%	57 27%	89 33%	94 32%	70 33%	54 23%	80 31%	82 31%	76 30%	44 30%	25 29%
Neither effective nor not effective (0)	69 7%	33 7%	36 7%	4 3%	9 6%	21 11%	13 8%	14 9%	9 4%	25 9%	16 6%	13 6%	15 7%	14 6%	11 4%	24 10%	15 11%	5 5%
Not very effective (-1)	200 20%	90 18%	110 22%	13 11%	31 19%	44 23%	41 24%	34 22%	38 18%	70 26%	59 21%	28 13%	43 19%	49 19%	53 20%	47 19%	27 19%	24 28%
Not at all effective (-2)	46 5%	28 6%	17 3%	10 9%	10 6%	7 4%	8 5%	4 3%	6 3%	14 5%	9 3%	15 7%	8 3%	17 7%	8 3%	12 5%	6 4%	3 3%
NET: Not effective	246 25%	119 24%	127 25%	24 20%	41 26%	51 27%	49 29%	37 25%	44 21%	83 31%	69 24%	43 21%	51 22%	66 26%	61 23%	59 24%	33 23%	27 31%
Don't know	30 3%	9 2%	21 4%	- -	1 1%	4 2%	4 2%	5 3%	17 8%	5 2%	7 2%	10 5%	8 4%	10 4%	6 2%	9 3%	3 2%	2 3%
Mean	0.73	0.77	0.70	0.93	0.63	0.64	0.62	0.70	0.91	0.48	0.80	0.80	0.90	0.68	0.85	0.71	0.74	0.59
Standard deviation	1.27	1.30	1.24	1.31	1.24	1.27	1.31	1.23	1.24	1.27	1.23	1.28	1.27	1.32	1.24	1.26	1.24	1.30
Standard error	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.17	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.14

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 8

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**A prison sentence**

**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	Eastern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
NET: Effective	655 65%	32 71%	53 60%	62 80%	68 73%	86 67%	78 62%	56 61%	38 71%	55 59%	74 63%	53 61%	564 66%	53 61%	38 71%
Very effective (+2)	349 35%	16 35%	32 37%	34 44%	37 40%	37 29%	47 38%	25 28%	24 46%	32 35%	35 30%	27 32%	297 34%	27 32%	24 46%
Quite effective (+1)	306 31%	16 36%	21 23%	28 36%	31 33%	49 38%	31 25%	30 33%	13 25%	23 25%	39 33%	25 29%	268 31%	25 29%	13 25%
Neither effective nor not effective (0)	69 7%	2 4%	6 7%	2 3%	3 3%	9 7%	5 4%	12 13%	3 6%	6 7%	16 14%	5 5%	61 7%	5 5%	3 6%
Not very effective (-1)	200 20%	5 11%	20 23%	11 14%	18 20%	20 15%	29 23%	20 22%	7 13%	24 26%	22 18%	24 28%	169 20%	24 28%	7 13%
Not at all effective (-2)	46 5%	4 9%	5 6%	2 2%	3 3%	7 5%	10 8%	* 1%	5 10%	3 3%	3 3%	3 3%	37 4%	3 3%	5 10%
NET: Not effective	246 25%	9 19%	26 29%	13 17%	21 23%	27 21%	39 31%	21 23%	12 23%	27 29%	25 21%	27 31%	207 24%	27 31%	12 23%
Don't know	30 3%	3 6%	4 4%	- -	2 2%	7 5%	3 3%	3 3%	- -	5 5%	2 2%	2 3%	28 3%	2 3%	- -
Mean	0.73	0.82	0.65	1.06	0.89	0.73	0.63	0.68	0.84	0.65	0.71	0.59	0.74	0.59	0.84
Standard deviation	1.27	1.31	1.37	1.13	1.23	1.22	1.41	1.14	1.40	1.32	1.17	1.30	1.26	1.30	1.40
Standard error	0.04	0.20	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.20	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.04	0.14	0.20

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 9

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Treatment to tackle binge drinking**

**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region				
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
NET: Effective	618 62%	289 59%	328 64%	69 57%	91 57%	129 68%	114 67%	85 57%	130 62%	171 63%	200 69%	114 54%	133 58%	160 63%	168 64%	148 59%	89 62%	52 60%
Very effective (+2)	308 31%	142 29%	167 33%	28 23%	37 23%	67 35%	57 34%	47 31%	72 34%	80 30%	95 33%	53 25%	80 35%	82 32%	93 35%	69 27%	38 26%	26 30%
Quite effective (+1)	309 31%	147 30%	162 32%	41 34%	54 34%	62 33%	57 33%	38 26%	58 28%	91 34%	105 36%	61 29%	53 23%	78 31%	75 28%	79 32%	51 36%	26 30%
Neither effective nor not effective (0)	79 8%	38 8%	40 8%	9 8%	16 10%	19 10%	12 7%	11 8%	11 5%	23 9%	19 7%	23 11%	13 6%	12 5%	16 6%	25 10%	13 9%	12 14%
Not very effective (-1)	154 15%	81 17%	73 14%	20 16%	29 18%	20 11%	26 15%	27 18%	32 15%	43 16%	39 13%	28 14%	44 19%	35 14%	40 15%	40 16%	25 17%	14 16%
Not at all effective (-2)	85 9%	62 13%	23 5%	21 18%	14 9%	8 4%	8 5%	13 9%	21 10%	15 6%	20 7%	29 14%	21 9%	28 11%	21 8%	23 9%	8 5%	6 7%
NET: Not effective	239 24%	143 29%	96 19%	41 34%	43 27%	28 15%	35 20%	40 27%	53 25%	58 22%	59 20%	57 27%	65 28%	63 25%	61 23%	63 25%	33 23%	20 23%
Don't know	65 6%	20 4%	45 9%	1 1%	10 6%	14 8%	9 5%	13 9%	17 8%	17 6%	12 4%	16 8%	20 9%	19 7%	19 7%	16 6%	9 6%	3 3%
Mean	0.64	0.48	0.81	0.29	0.47	0.91	0.80	0.58	0.67	0.70	0.77	0.42	0.60	0.64	0.73	0.56	0.64	0.62
Standard deviation	1.33	1.41	1.22	1.45	1.31	1.16	1.23	1.38	1.40	1.25	1.26	1.41	1.42	1.39	1.34	1.33	1.23	1.28
Standard error	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.19	0.13	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.12	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.14

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 9

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Treatment to tackle binge drinking**

**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	Eastern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
NET: Effective	618 62%	23 50%	52 59%	49 63%	61 65%	88 69%	72 57%	57 63%	32 60%	58 63%	73 63%	52 60%	534 62%	52 60%	32 60%
Very effective	(+2) 308 31%	9 20%	31 35%	22 28%	34 36%	41 32%	41 32%	27 29%	11 21%	38 41%	29 25%	26 30%	271 32%	26 30%	11 21%
Quite effective	(+1) 309 31%	14 30%	21 24%	27 35%	28 29%	47 36%	31 25%	30 33%	21 39%	20 22%	45 38%	26 30%	262 31%	26 30%	21 39%
Neither effective nor not effective	(0) 79 8%	9 19%	7 8%	4 5%	6 6%	8 6%	4 3%	10 11%	3 7%	7 7%	9 7%	12 14%	63 7%	12 14%	3 7%
Not very effective	(-1) 154 15%	9 21%	11 12%	12 15%	13 14%	15 12%	20 16%	19 20%	6 12%	15 16%	19 17%	14 16%	133 16%	14 16%	6 12%
Not at all effective	(-2) 85 9%	2 3%	10 12%	6 8%	7 8%	11 9%	16 13%	1 1%	7 14%	7 8%	11 9%	6 7%	72 8%	6 7%	7 14%
NET: Not effective	239 24%	11 24%	21 24%	18 23%	20 22%	27 21%	36 29%	19 21%	13 25%	23 24%	31 26%	20 23%	206 24%	20 23%	13 25%
Don't know	65 6%	3 6%	8 9%	7 9%	6 7%	6 4%	13 10%	5 5%	4 8%	5 6%	5 4%	3 3%	58 7%	3 3%	4 8%
Mean	0.64	0.45	0.64	0.66	0.77	0.74	0.53	0.74	0.46	0.75	0.54	0.62	0.66	0.62	0.46
Standard deviation	1.33	1.17	1.44	1.31	1.33	1.30	1.49	1.14	1.37	1.39	1.31	1.28	1.33	1.28	1.37
Standard error	0.04	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.20	0.15	0.12	0.14	0.05	0.14	0.20



## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 10

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Treatment to tackle drug addiction**

**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land	
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90	
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87	
NET: Effective	741	354	387	88	118	166	121	102	146	209	238	136	158	192	210	187	95	58	
	74%	72%	76%	74%	74%	87%	71%	68%	69%	78%	82%	65%	69%	76%	80%	74%	66%	66%	
Very effective	(+2)	425	194	231	55	60	90	71	60	89	125	130	71	99	112	121	104	48	40
		42%	40%	45%	46%	37%	47%	42%	40%	42%	46%	45%	34%	43%	44%	46%	42%	34%	46%
Quite effective	(+1)	317	161	156	33	58	75	50	43	57	85	108	64	59	80	90	82	47	18
		32%	33%	31%	28%	36%	40%	30%	28%	27%	31%	37%	31%	26%	32%	34%	33%	32%	21%
Neither effective nor not effective	(0)	55	31	25	15	3	9	11	10	6	13	14	14	14	10	14	9	8	
		6%	6%	5%	13%	2%	5%	7%	7%	3%	5%	5%	7%	6%	5%	4%	6%	6%	10%
Not very effective	(-1)	107	55	52	8	19	9	18	22	31	28	26	20	33	21	26	24	22	12
		11%	11%	10%	7%	12%	5%	10%	15%	15%	10%	9%	10%	14%	8%	10%	10%	15%	14%
Not at all effective	(-2)	51	31	20	9	9	4	10	7	12	9	8	21	13	20	9	13	4	5
		5%	6%	4%	7%	6%	2%	6%	5%	6%	3%	3%	10%	6%	8%	4%	5%	3%	6%
NET: Not effective		158	86	72	17	29	13	27	29	43	37	34	41	46	41	35	37	27	18
		16%	18%	14%	14%	18%	7%	16%	20%	20%	14%	12%	20%	20%	16%	13%	15%	18%	20%
Don't know		45	19	27	-	10	2	10	8	15	11	3	20	12	7	8	13	13	3
		5%	4%	5%	-	6%	1%	6%	5%	7%	4%	1%	9%	5%	3%	3%	5%	9%	4%
Mean		1.00	0.91	1.09	0.99	0.93	1.27	0.97	0.89	0.92	1.11	1.14	0.76	0.91	0.99	1.12	1.01	0.86	0.90
Standard deviation		1.20	1.24	1.15	1.23	1.23	0.92	1.23	1.25	1.30	1.12	1.05	1.35	1.29	1.25	1.11	1.18	1.19	1.32
Standard error		0.04	0.06	0.05	0.16	0.12	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.14

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 10

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Treatment to tackle drug addiction**

**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	Eastern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
NET: Effective	741 74%	32 71%	68 77%	62 80%	76 81%	101 78%	91 73%	58 63%	37 70%	72 78%	87 74%	58 66%	647 75%	58 66%	37 70%
Very effective (+2)	425 42%	17 37%	41 47%	29 37%	46 49%	59 46%	53 42%	31 34%	18 33%	46 50%	46 39%	40 46%	367 43%	40 46%	18 33%
Quite effective (+1)	317 32%	15 34%	26 30%	33 43%	30 32%	42 33%	38 30%	27 30%	19 37%	26 28%	41 35%	18 21%	279 32%	18 21%	19 37%
Neither effective nor not effective (0)	55 6%	2 5%	5 6%	3 4%	3 3%	8 6%	5 4%	7 8%	2 4%	4 4%	6 5%	8 10%	45 5%	8 10%	2 4%
Not very effective (-1)	107 11%	5 11%	7 8%	9 12%	8 8%	9 7%	13 10%	16 17%	6 12%	9 10%	12 10%	12 14%	88 10%	12 14%	6 12%
Not at all effective (-2)	51 5%	3 6%	6 6%	1 2%	3 3%	8 6%	11 9%	1 1%	3 6%	5 5%	5 4%	5 6%	43 5%	5 6%	3 6%
NET: Not effective	158 16%	8 17%	12 14%	10 13%	11 12%	17 13%	24 19%	17 19%	10 18%	14 15%	17 14%	18 20%	131 15%	18 20%	10 18%
Don't know	45 5%	3 6%	3 3%	2 2%	4 4%	2 2%	5 4%	10 10%	4 7%	3 3%	7 6%	3 4%	38 4%	3 4%	4 7%
Mean	1.00	0.91	1.07	1.05	1.19	1.06	0.91	0.86	0.85	1.11	1.01	0.90	1.02	0.90	0.85
Standard deviation	1.20	1.24	1.21	1.04	1.09	1.19	1.32	1.17	1.24	1.20	1.15	1.32	1.18	1.32	1.24
Standard error	0.04	0.19	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.18	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.04	0.14	0.18

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 11

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Better mental healthcare**

**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region				
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
NET: Effective	800 80%	389 79%	410 80%	86 72%	128 80%	165 87%	143 84%	114 76%	162 77%	214 79%	240 83%	166 79%	180 78%	197 78%	223 84%	204 81%	112 77%	65 74%
Very effective (+2)	470 47%	217 44%	253 50%	57 47%	70 44%	94 49%	84 49%	65 43%	101 48%	126 47%	127 44%	103 49%	114 50%	121 47%	130 49%	126 50%	57 39%	38 43%
Quite effective (+1)	329 33%	172 35%	157 31%	30 25%	58 37%	71 38%	60 35%	49 33%	61 29%	88 33%	113 39%	63 30%	65 28%	76 30%	93 35%	78 31%	55 38%	27 31%
Neither effective nor not effective (0)	56 6%	30 6%	26 5%	16 14%	6 4%	10 5%	8 5%	8 6%	8 4%	15 6%	21 7%	7 3%	14 6%	15 6%	14 5%	12 5%	6 4%	10 11%
Not very effective (-1)	75 7%	37 8%	38 7%	9 7%	17 11%	9 5%	9 6%	12 8%	19 9%	22 8%	18 6%	16 8%	19 8%	21 8%	9 3%	21 8%	15 11%	9 11%
Not at all effective (-2)	17 2%	11 2%	6 1%	4 3%	2 1%	1 *	5 3%	3 2%	3 1%	3 1%	- -	9 4%	5 2%	7 3%	3 1%	4 2%	2 2%	1 1%
NET: Not effective	92 9%	48 10%	44 9%	13 11%	19 12%	10 5%	15 9%	15 10%	21 10%	26 10%	18 6%	25 12%	23 10%	28 11%	12 4%	25 10%	18 12%	10 12%
Don't know	52 5%	22 5%	29 6%	4 4%	6 4%	5 3%	4 2%	13 9%	20 9%	15 5%	12 4%	11 5%	14 6%	15 6%	15 6%	10 4%	9 6%	2 3%
Mean	1.22	1.17	1.28	1.10	1.15	1.34	1.24	1.18	1.26	1.22	1.26	1.18	1.23	1.18	1.36	1.25	1.09	1.08
Standard deviation	0.99	1.02	0.97	1.11	1.02	0.82	1.01	1.02	1.01	0.99	0.85	1.13	1.04	1.07	0.84	1.01	1.04	1.05
Standard error	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.14	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.11

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 11

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Better mental healthcare**

**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	Eastern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
NET: Effective	800 80%	36 79%	71 80%	63 81%	82 88%	103 80%	94 75%	67 74%	44 84%	78 84%	98 83%	65 74%	691 80%	65 74%	44 84%
Very effective (+2)	470 47%	20 43%	48 54%	34 44%	45 48%	59 46%	61 49%	31 34%	25 48%	51 55%	58 50%	38 43%	407 47%	38 43%	25 48%
Quite effective (+1)	329 33%	16 36%	23 26%	29 37%	37 40%	43 34%	33 26%	36 40%	19 36%	27 29%	39 34%	27 31%	284 33%	27 31%	19 36%
Neither effective nor not effective (0)	56 6%	2 5%	4 5%	4 6%	3 4%	8 6%	6 5%	4 4%	2 4%	7 7%	6 5%	10 11%	45 5%	10 11%	2 4%
Not very effective (-1)	75 7%	5 10%	9 10%	5 6%	2 2%	8 6%	13 10%	10 11%	5 10%	2 2%	7 6%	9 11%	60 7%	9 11%	5 10%
Not at all effective (-2)	17 2%	- -	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	3 3%	4 3%	2 2%	1 1%	- -	3 3%	1 1%	16 2%	1 1%	1 1%
NET: Not effective	92 9%	5 10%	10 12%	5 7%	4 4%	11 9%	17 13%	12 13%	6 11%	2 2%	10 8%	10 12%	76 9%	10 12%	6 11%
Don't know	52 5%	3 6%	3 4%	5 7%	4 4%	7 5%	8 7%	8 9%	1 2%	6 6%	4 4%	2 3%	48 6%	2 3%	1 2%
Mean	1.22	1.19	1.26	1.25	1.34	1.21	1.15	1.02	1.22	1.46	1.26	1.08	1.24	1.08	1.22
Standard deviation	0.99	0.97	1.05	0.90	0.86	1.00	1.13	1.06	0.99	0.75	1.00	1.05	0.99	1.05	0.99
Standard error	0.03	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.03	0.11	0.14

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 12

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Making amends to victims**

**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land	
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90	
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87	
NET: Effective	789	389	400	90	117	156	136	114	175	209	234	163	183	196	203	208	117	66	
	79%	79%	78%	75%	73%	82%	80%	76%	83%	77%	81%	78%	79%	77%	77%	83%	81%	76%	
Very effective	(+2)	412	199	213	33	52	82	77	63	104	112	108	82	110	97	93	125	68	30
		41%	41%	42%	27%	33%	43%	46%	42%	50%	42%	37%	39%	48%	38%	35%	50%	47%	34%
Quite effective	(+1)	376	189	187	57	65	74	58	51	71	96	126	81	72	99	110	83	48	36
		38%	39%	37%	48%	41%	39%	34%	34%	34%	36%	44%	39%	31%	39%	42%	33%	33%	41%
Neither effective nor not effective	(0)	53	26	27	8	12	11	9	8	5	18	14	7	13	11	15	11	7	8
		5%	5%	5%	6%	8%	6%	5%	6%	3%	7%	5%	4%	6%	4%	6%	5%	5%	10%
Not very effective	(-1)	80	38	42	11	10	15	14	15	16	25	16	20	20	19	27	20	7	7
		8%	8%	8%	9%	6%	8%	8%	10%	7%	9%	6%	9%	9%	8%	10%	8%	5%	8%
Not at all effective	(-2)	39	22	17	6	6	1	9	10	7	10	7	12	9	11	14	4	9	*
		4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	*	5%	7%	3%	4%	3%	6%	4%	4%	5%	2%	6%	*
NET: Not effective		119	60	59	17	16	16	23	25	22	35	24	32	29	30	41	24	16	7
		12%	12%	11%	14%	10%	8%	13%	17%	11%	13%	8%	15%	13%	12%	16%	10%	11%	8%
Don't know		39	15	25	5	14	7	2	3	8	8	18	7	6	17	5	8	4	6
		4%	3%	5%	4%	9%	4%	1%	2%	4%	3%	6%	4%	2%	7%	2%	3%	3%	7%
Mean		1.09	1.07	1.11	0.87	1.01	1.21	1.09	0.97	1.23	1.06	1.14	0.99	1.13	1.06	0.93	1.25	1.14	1.08
Standard deviation		1.08	1.10	1.07	1.10	1.05	0.92	1.15	1.23	1.05	1.10	0.96	1.17	1.12	1.10	1.15	0.99	1.15	0.92
Standard error		0.03	0.05	0.05	0.14	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.10	0.10	

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 12

**Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder?**

**Making amends to victims**

**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	Eastern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
NET: Effective	789 79%	37 82%	70 80%	56 72%	73 78%	106 82%	90 72%	76 83%	41 77%	73 79%	100 85%	66 76%	682 79%	66 76%	41 77%
Very effective	(+2) 41%	27 60%	38 43%	20 25%	31 34%	47 37%	49 39%	41 45%	27 51%	42 45%	59 51%	30 34%	355 41%	30 34%	27 51%
Quite effective	(+1) 38%	10 22%	32 37%	36 47%	42 45%	58 45%	41 33%	35 38%	14 26%	32 34%	41 35%	36 41%	327 38%	36 41%	14 26%
Neither effective nor not effective	(0) 5%	3 6%	3 3%	8 11%	5 5%	6 5%	5 4%	3 4%	4 8%	2 2%	6 5%	8 10%	41 5%	8 10%	4 8%
Not very effective	(-1) 8%	2 5%	11 13%	8 10%	10 11%	8 6%	11 9%	4 4%	3 6%	9 10%	6 5%	7 8%	70 8%	7 8%	3 6%
Not at all effective	(-2) 4%	- -	2 2%	5 7%	3 3%	4 3%	8 6%	5 6%	4 7%	6 6%	2 2%	* *	34 4%	* *	4 7%
NET: Not effective	119 12%	2 5%	13 15%	13 17%	13 13%	11 9%	19 15%	9 10%	7 13%	15 17%	9 7%	7 8%	105 12%	7 8%	7 13%
Don't know	39 4%	3 6%	2 2%	- -	3 3%	5 4%	12 9%	3 3%	1 2%	2 2%	3 3%	6 7%	32 4%	6 7%	1 2%
Mean	1.09	1.47	1.09	0.73	0.99	1.12	0.99	1.16	1.10	1.03	1.30	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.10
Standard deviation	1.08	0.85	1.08	1.15	1.06	0.98	1.22	1.10	1.23	1.22	0.95	0.92	1.09	0.92	1.23
Standard error	0.03	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.08	0.12	0.12	0.18	0.13	0.09	0.10	0.04	0.10	0.18

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Gender			Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land	
Unweighted base	1000	446	554	62	109	150	216	203	260	342	184	152	322	259	261	253	137	90	
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87	
<b>Gender</b>																			
Male	490 49%	490 100%	-	60 50%	74 46%	91 48%	82 48%	83 56%	100 48%	126 47%	142 49%	109 52%	113 49%	122 48%	131 50%	125 50%	68 47%	45 51%	
Female	510 51%	-	510 100%	60 50%	86 54%	99 52%	88 52%	67 44%	110 52%	144 53%	148 51%	101 48%	117 51%	133 52%	133 50%	126 50%	76 53%	42 49%	
<b>Age</b>																			
18-24	(21) 12%	120 12%	60 12%	60 100%	120 -	-	-	-	-	25 9%	36 12%	35 17%	25 11%	41 16%	32 12%	21 8%	10 7%	16 18%	
25-34	(29.5) 16%	160 15%	74 17%	86 17%	-	160 100%	-	-	-	50 19%	55 19%	31 15%	23 10%	43 17%	41 16%	34 14%	23 16%	18 21%	
35-44	(39.5) 19%	190 19%	91 19%	99 19%	-	-	190 100%	-	-	62 23%	63 22%	44 21%	21 9%	50 20%	42 16%	53 21%	29 20%	17 20%	
45-54	(49.5) 17%	170 17%	82 17%	88 17%	-	-	-	170 100%	-	50 18%	53 18%	40 19%	27 12%	48 19%	45 17%	47 19%	23 16%	7 8%	
55-64	(59.5) 15%	150 15%	83 17%	67 13%	-	-	-	-	150 100%	46 17%	40 14%	30 14%	33 14%	33 13%	46 18%	36 14%	24 17%	10 11%	
65+	(70) 21%	210 21%	100 20%	110 22%	-	-	-	-	210 100%	36 13%	43 15%	30 14%	101 44%	39 15%	57 22%	59 24%	35 24%	19 22%	
Average age		46.79	47.04	46.55	21.00	29.50	39.50	49.50	59.50	70.00	45.32	44.47	44.06	53.91	44.05	47.52	48.52	48.99	43.93

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Gender		Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Midlands	North England	Wales & South West	Scotland
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
<b><u>Social Grade</u></b>																		
AB	270	126	144	25	50	62	50	46	36	270	-	-	-	81	75	56	35	23
	27%	26%	28%	21%	31%	33%	29%	31%	17%	100%	-	-	-	32%	28%	22%	24%	26%
C1	290	142	148	36	55	63	53	40	43	-	290	-	-	75	74	76	38	27
	29%	29%	29%	30%	34%	33%	31%	27%	21%	-	100%	-	-	29%	28%	30%	26%	32%
C2	210	109	101	35	31	44	40	30	30	-	-	210	-	44	44	64	43	15
	21%	22%	20%	29%	20%	23%	24%	20%	14%	-	-	100%	-	17%	17%	26%	30%	18%
DE	230	113	117	25	23	21	27	33	101	-	-	-	230	55	71	54	29	21
	23%	23%	23%	21%	15%	11%	16%	22%	48%	-	-	-	100%	21%	27%	22%	20%	24%
<b><u>Region</u></b>																		
South East	254	122	133	41	43	50	48	33	39	81	75	44	55	254	-	-	-	-
	25%	25%	26%	34%	27%	26%	28%	22%	19%	30%	26%	21%	24%	100%	-	-	-	-
Midlands	264	131	133	32	41	42	45	46	57	75	74	44	71	-	264	-	-	-
	26%	27%	26%	27%	26%	22%	27%	31%	27%	28%	25%	21%	31%	-	100%	-	-	-
North England	251	125	126	21	34	53	47	36	59	56	76	64	54	-	-	251	-	-
	25%	25%	25%	18%	22%	28%	28%	24%	28%	21%	26%	31%	24%	-	-	100%	-	-
Wales & South West	144	68	76	10	23	29	23	24	35	35	38	43	29	-	-	-	144	-
	14%	14%	15%	9%	14%	15%	13%	16%	17%	13%	13%	20%	12%	-	-	-	100%	-
Scotland	87	45	42	16	18	17	7	10	19	23	27	15	21	-	-	-	-	87
	9%	9%	8%	13%	11%	9%	4%	6%	9%	8%	9%	7%	9%	-	-	-	-	100%



## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Gender		Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
<b><u>Working status</u></b>																		
Full-time	440 44%	261 53%	179 35%	41 34%	103 64%	121 64%	113 67%	59 40%	3 1%	146 54%	151 52%	106 51%	36 16%	112 44%	112 43%	122 49%	58 40%	36 41%
Part-time	120 12%	25 5%	95 19%	14 11%	24 15%	28 15%	27 16%	17 11%	12 6%	33 12%	37 13%	29 14%	21 9%	33 13%	30 11%	24 10%	18 13%	15 17%
Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed/sick	64 6%	38 8%	26 5%	17 14%	14 9%	15 8%	14 8%	4 3%	-	7 3%	19 6%	16 7%	23 10%	17 7%	20 8%	11 5%	13 9%	3 3%
Not working/not seeking work	44 4%	8 2%	36 7%	6 5%	6 3%	14 7%	11 6%	8 6%	-	7 2%	7 3%	13 6%	17 7%	8 3%	10 4%	11 4%	7 5%	7 8%
Retired	248 25%	114 23%	134 26%	2 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	57 38%	186 89%	58 21%	51 18%	26 12%	113 49%	48 19%	72 27%	69 28%	36 25%	23 27%
Student	38 4%	18 4%	20 4%	23 19%	8 5%	5 3%	1 *	-	1 *	11 4%	12 4%	6 3%	9 4%	19 8%	7 3%	4 2%	8 5%	-
Refused	46 5%	26 5%	20 4%	18 15%	5 3%	7 4%	3 2%	4 3%	8 4%	8 3%	12 4%	14 7%	11 5%	17 7%	13 5%	9 4%	4 3%	3 4%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Gender		Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
<b><u>Tenure</u></b>																		
Own outright	330 33%	158 32%	172 34%	26 22%	11 7%	25 13%	46 27%	85 57%	137 65%	94 35%	86 30%	59 28%	91 40%	65 26%	105 40%	77 31%	53 37%	30 34%
Own with a mortgage	349 35%	167 34%	183 36%	33 28%	77 48%	108 57%	86 50%	34 23%	12 6%	129 48%	123 42%	70 33%	28 12%	87 34%	89 34%	99 39%	47 32%	29 33%
Council	130 13%	53 11%	78 15%	21 17%	24 15%	18 10%	21 12%	13 8%	34 16%	8 3%	26 9%	36 17%	60 26%	35 14%	27 10%	38 15%	13 9%	17 20%
Housing Assoc.	32 3%	16 3%	16 3%	1 1%	4 3%	7 4%	3 2%	6 4%	10 5%	2 1%	10 3%	5 3%	15 6%	8 3%	10 4%	7 3%	5 4%	2 2%
Rented from someone else	90 9%	57 12%	34 7%	12 10%	37 23%	22 12%	8 5%	5 4%	6 3%	24 9%	28 10%	21 10%	17 8%	38 15%	15 6%	16 7%	15 11%	6 7%
Rent free	7 1%	5 1%	2 *	4 3%	- -	- -	1 *	* *	1 1%	1 *	- -	3 2%	3 1%	1 *	* *	1 *	4 3%	- -
Refused	61 6%	35 7%	27 5%	23 19%	7 5%	11 6%	5 3%	6 4%	9 5%	13 5%	17 6%	15 7%	15 7%	21 8%	17 6%	13 5%	7 5%	4 4%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Gender		Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
<b><u>Foreign Holiday in last 3 years</u></b>																		
Yes	620	309	311	73	104	130	121	97	96	207	194	117	102	165	170	153	78	55
	62%	63%	61%	61%	65%	68%	71%	64%	46%	77%	67%	56%	44%	65%	64%	61%	54%	63%
No	380	181	199	47	56	60	49	53	114	63	96	93	128	90	94	98	66	32
	38%	37%	39%	39%	35%	32%	29%	36%	54%	23%	33%	44%	56%	35%	36%	39%	46%	37%
<b><u>Number of cars</u></b>																		
None	202	88	114	34	20	30	24	29	66	22	59	37	85	63	48	47	27	16
	20%	18%	22%	28%	12%	16%	14%	19%	31%	8%	20%	17%	37%	25%	18%	19%	19%	19%
1	414	216	198	39	77	69	60	59	109	98	116	100	101	103	101	124	51	35
	41%	44%	39%	32%	48%	37%	36%	40%	52%	36%	40%	47%	44%	41%	38%	50%	35%	41%
2	293	143	150	17	58	83	58	48	29	116	93	51	33	59	83	69	48	34
	29%	29%	29%	14%	37%	44%	34%	32%	14%	43%	32%	24%	14%	23%	32%	27%	33%	39%
3+	91	43	48	31	5	8	28	13	5	34	23	23	11	29	32	11	19	1
	9%	9%	9%	26%	3%	4%	17%	9%	3%	13%	8%	11%	5%	11%	12%	4%	13%	1%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Gender		Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
<b><u>Internet Access</u></b>																		
At all	850 85%	413 84%	437 86%	120 100%	156 98%	187 99%	157 92%	134 89%	95 45%	255 94%	257 89%	186 89%	152 66%	229 90%	222 84%	201 80%	122 85%	76 88%
At home (net)	801 80%	393 80%	408 80%	118 98%	146 91%	174 92%	146 86%	128 85%	89 43%	245 91%	231 80%	183 87%	142 62%	213 84%	211 80%	188 75%	119 83%	70 81%
At work (net)	397 40%	199 41%	198 39%	38 32%	89 55%	111 58%	107 63%	45 30%	8 4%	150 56%	152 53%	66 31%	29 13%	111 44%	106 40%	93 37%	54 37%	33 38%
At home	432 43%	203 41%	229 45%	80 67%	59 37%	73 38%	49 29%	88 59%	83 39%	100 37%	96 33%	121 57%	115 50%	109 43%	112 42%	103 41%	66 46%	42 48%
At work	28 3%	9 2%	19 4%	- -	2 1%	10 5%	10 6%	5 3%	2 1%	5 2%	17 6%	3 1%	2 1%	8 3%	7 3%	8 3%	- -	5 6%
Both at home and at work	369 37%	190 39%	179 35%	38 32%	87 54%	101 53%	97 57%	40 27%	7 3%	145 54%	135 47%	63 30%	27 12%	104 41%	99 37%	85 34%	54 37%	28 32%
Somewhere else	21 2%	11 2%	10 2%	2 2%	9 6%	3 2%	1 1%	1 1%	4 2%	5 2%	8 3%	- -	8 3%	8 3%	4 2%	4 2%	3 2%	1 2%
Not at all	150 15%	77 16%	73 14%	- -	4 2%	3 1%	13 8%	16 11%	115 55%	15 6%	33 11%	24 11%	79 34%	25 10%	42 16%	50 20%	22 15%	11 12%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Gender		Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
<b>What is the highest educational level that you have achieved to date?</b>																		
Secondary/ high school/ NVQ 1-3	518 52%	241 49%	277 54%	73 61%	79 49%	90 47%	89 52%	75 50%	112 53%	100 37%	140 48%	136 65%	142 62%	115 45%	162 61%	125 50%	70 48%	45 52%
University degree or equivalent professional qualification/ NVQ4	268 27%	124 25%	143 28%	28 23%	48 30%	58 31%	49 29%	45 30%	38 18%	110 41%	91 32%	34 16%	33 14%	77 30%	55 21%	71 28%	44 31%	21 24%
Higher university degree/ Doctorate/ MBA/ NVQ 5 or equivalent	82 8%	48 10%	35 7%	3 2%	24 15%	24 13%	15 9%	13 9%	3 1%	44 16%	31 11%	4 2%	3 1%	31 12%	16 6%	11 4%	13 9%	12 14%
No formal qualifications	90 9%	53 11%	37 7%	4 4%	4 2%	11 6%	14 8%	12 8%	45 21%	6 2%	20 7%	22 10%	42 18%	18 7%	20 8%	32 13%	14 9%	6 7%
None of these	1 *	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	-
Refused	41 4%	23 5%	19 4%	12 10%	5 3%	7 4%	3 2%	4 3%	11 5%	8 3%	7 2%	14 7%	12 5%	14 5%	9 4%	11 4%	4 3%	4 4%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Gender		Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
<b><u>Marital Status</u></b>																		
Single	203 20%	117 24%	86 17%	82 68%	39 25%	26 14%	23 13%	20 13%	14 7%	36 13%	82 28%	39 19%	47 20%	63 25%	61 23%	38 15%	25 17%	17 20%
Married/ co-habiting	551 55%	272 56%	279 55%	20 17%	104 65%	140 74%	104 62%	90 60%	92 44%	191 71%	140 48%	128 61%	92 40%	133 53%	138 52%	136 54%	90 62%	54 62%
Widowed/ separated/ divorced	193 19%	71 14%	123 24%	- -	9 6%	14 8%	39 23%	35 24%	96 45%	33 12%	54 19%	28 13%	79 34%	40 16%	52 20%	65 26%	23 16%	13 15%
Refused	52 5%	30 6%	22 4%	18 15%	7 5%	9 5%	4 2%	5 3%	8 4%	10 4%	15 5%	14 7%	12 5%	18 7%	13 5%	12 5%	6 4%	3 4%
<b><u>Children</u></b>																		
None aged 18 or under	638 64%	321 66%	317 62%	87 72%	72 45%	48 25%	96 56%	136 90%	200 95%	168 62%	174 60%	113 54%	183 80%	154 61%	186 70%	159 63%	87 61%	52 60%
NET: Yes	310 31%	140 29%	170 33%	13 11%	83 52%	131 69%	70 41%	10 7%	2 1%	90 33%	102 35%	82 39%	35 15%	78 31%	65 25%	83 33%	53 37%	30 35%
NET: Yes any aged 15 or under	264 26%	122 25%	141 28%	8 6%	81 50%	121 64%	50 29%	5 3%	- -	76 28%	88 30%	67 32%	32 14%	63 25%	55 21%	67 27%	49 34%	30 34%
- Aged under 5	114 11%	51 10%	63 12%	6 5%	57 36%	41 22%	7 4%	1 1%	- -	36 13%	31 11%	33 15%	15 6%	19 7%	27 10%	29 12%	22 15%	18 20%
- Aged 5-10	125 13%	65 13%	60 12%	- -	34 22%	65 34%	25 15%	1 *	- -	30 11%	43 15%	32 15%	19 8%	33 13%	23 9%	34 14%	25 17%	10 12%
- Aged 11-15	110 11%	54 11%	56 11%	1 1%	12 8%	57 30%	35 20%	5 3%	- -	34 13%	36 12%	22 10%	19 8%	31 12%	27 10%	27 11%	17 12%	8 9%
- Aged 16-18	81 8%	38 8%	43 8%	6 5%	4 2%	27 14%	34 20%	8 5%	2 1%	25 9%	20 7%	28 13%	7 3%	24 9%	21 8%	25 10%	11 8%	1 1%
Refused	52 5%	29 6%	23 5%	20 17%	5 3%	11 6%	4 2%	4 3%	8 4%	12 4%	14 5%	14 7%	12 5%	22 9%	13 5%	9 4%	4 3%	4 5%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Gender		Age						Social Grade				Region					
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid-lands	North Eng-land	Wales & South West	Scot-land
Weighted base	1000	490	510	120	160	190	170	150	210	270	290	210	230	254	264	251	144	87
<b><u>Grocery shopping status</u></b>																		
Yes, solely responsible	444 44%	135 27%	309 61%	5 4%	66 41%	80 42%	86 51%	75 50%	132 63%	101 38%	129 45%	88 42%	125 54%	97 38%	122 46%	121 48%	67 46%	38 44%
Responsible for more than half (but not all)	106 11%	42 9%	63 12%	14 11%	24 15%	26 14%	13 8%	19 13%	9 4%	41 15%	28 10%	23 11%	13 6%	41 16%	20 8%	21 9%	12 8%	11 13%
About half	179 18%	106 22%	73 14%	23 19%	41 26%	36 19%	30 18%	20 13%	29 14%	57 21%	47 16%	39 19%	37 16%	52 21%	41 16%	37 15%	34 24%	15 17%
Less than half	83 8%	65 13%	18 3%	15 12%	13 8%	23 12%	16 9%	5 3%	11 5%	27 10%	34 12%	14 6%	8 4%	17 7%	18 7%	27 11%	11 7%	10 12%
None - someone else does it	155 15%	122 25%	33 6%	53 44%	12 7%	19 10%	22 13%	28 18%	21 10%	38 14%	46 16%	34 16%	36 16%	35 14%	56 21%	37 15%	17 11%	10 11%
Don't know	34 3%	20 4%	14 3%	11 9%	4 2%	6 3%	3 2%	4 3%	8 4%	6 2%	6 2%	12 6%	11 5%	12 5%	7 3%	7 3%	4 3%	3 4%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	East-ern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scot-land	Eng-land	Scot-land	Wales
Unweighted base	1000	46	89	74	94	139	120	87	50	93	118	90	860	90	50
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
<b><u>Gender</u></b>															
Male	490 49%	18 39%	45 51%	47 61%	33 35%	55 43%	67 53%	45 50%	23 44%	50 54%	62 53%	45 51%	422 49%	45 51%	23 44%
Female	510 51%	28 61%	43 49%	30 39%	61 65%	74 57%	59 47%	46 50%	30 56%	42 46%	56 47%	42 49%	438 51%	42 49%	30 56%
<b><u>Age</u></b>															
18-24	(21) 12%	3 7%	9 10%	16 20%	8 9%	19 14%	22 18%	5 6%	5 10%	8 9%	10 8%	16 18%	99 12%	16 18%	5 10%
25-34	(29.5) 16%	10 23%	11 13%	14 18%	14 15%	24 19%	19 15%	11 12%	11 21%	13 14%	13 11%	18 21%	130 15%	18 21%	11 21%
35-44	(39.5) 19%	5 11%	23 26%	7 9%	17 18%	22 17%	27 22%	21 24%	7 14%	17 18%	25 21%	17 20%	166 19%	17 20%	7 14%
45-54	(49.5) 17%	14 32%	12 13%	14 17%	13 14%	28 22%	20 16%	16 17%	7 13%	19 20%	21 18%	7 8%	156 18%	7 8%	7 13%
55-64	(59.5) 15%	5 12%	12 13%	14 18%	18 19%	14 11%	19 15%	12 13%	12 23%	15 16%	19 16%	10 11%	128 15%	10 11%	12 23%
65+	(70) 21%	7 16%	21 24%	13 17%	23 25%	22 17%	18 14%	25 28%	10 19%	21 23%	31 26%	19 22%	181 21%	19 22%	10 19%
Average age	46.79	46.29	47.81	44.33	49.11	44.45	43.64	49.93	47.36	48.58	49.91	43.93	47.04	43.93	47.36



## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	East-ern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
<b><u>Social Grade</u></b>															
AB	270 27%	8 17%	23 26%	17 22%	29 31%	37 29%	44 35%	20 22%	14 27%	28 30%	26 22%	23 26%	233 27%	23 26%	14 27%
C1	290 29%	14 30%	24 27%	25 32%	24 26%	40 31%	35 28%	22 24%	16 31%	25 27%	38 33%	27 32%	246 29%	27 32%	16 31%
C2	210 21%	10 23%	25 28%	15 19%	13 13%	21 16%	23 18%	29 32%	13 25%	17 18%	29 25%	15 18%	181 21%	15 18%	13 25%
DE	230 23%	13 30%	16 18%	21 27%	28 30%	31 24%	24 19%	20 22%	9 17%	23 25%	25 21%	21 24%	200 23%	21 24%	9 17%
<b><u>Region</u></b>															
South East	254 25%	-	-	-	-	128 100%	126 100%	-	-	-	-	-	254 30%	-	-
Midlands	264 26%	-	-	78 100%	94 100%	-	-	-	-	93 100%	-	-	264 31%	-	-
North England	251 25%	45 100%	88 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	118 100%	-	251 29%	-	-
Wales & South West	144 14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	91 100%	53 100%	-	-	-	91 11%	-	53 100%
Scotland	87 9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	87 100%	-	87 100%	-

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	East-ern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scot-land	Eng-land	Scot-land	Wales
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
<b><u>Working status</u></b>															
Full-time	440 44%	19 43%	44 50%	34 44%	37 40%	51 40%	61 48%	38 42%	20 38%	41 44%	58 50%	36 41%	384 45%	36 41%	20 38%
Part-time	120 12%	8 17%	9 11%	9 12%	10 10%	24 19%	9 7%	14 15%	5 9%	11 12%	7 6%	15 17%	100 12%	15 17%	5 9%
Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed/sick	64 6%	5 11%	1 2%	7 9%	6 6%	8 6%	9 8%	8 9%	5 9%	8 8%	5 4%	3 3%	56 7%	3 3%	5 9%
Not working/not seeking work	44 4%	2 4%	7 7%	4 5%	3 4%	5 4%	3 2%	3 3%	4 8%	3 3%	3 2%	7 8%	33 4%	7 8%	4 8%
Retired	248 25%	9 19%	23 26%	18 24%	30 32%	24 19%	24 19%	23 25%	13 25%	23 25%	38 32%	23 27%	211 25%	23 27%	13 25%
Student	38 4%	2 4%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	6 5%	13 11%	4 4%	4 7%	5 5%	1 1%	- -	34 4%	- -	4 7%
Refused	46 5%	1 3%	3 3%	4 6%	6 6%	10 8%	7 5%	2 2%	2 3%	2 3%	5 4%	3 4%	41 5%	3 4%	2 3%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	East-ern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
<b>Tenure</b>															
Own outright	330 33%	11 24%	25 28%	30 39%	40 42%	34 27%	31 24%	30 33%	23 43%	35 38%	42 36%	30 34%	278 32%	30 34%	23 43%
Own with a mortgage	349 35%	23 50%	32 37%	29 37%	26 28%	52 41%	35 28%	32 35%	15 28%	33 36%	44 37%	29 33%	306 36%	29 33%	15 28%
Council	130 13%	4 9%	18 21%	7 9%	10 10%	15 12%	20 16%	6 7%	7 13%	10 11%	16 13%	17 20%	106 12%	17 20%	7 13%
Housing Assoc.	32 3%	2 4%	2 2%	4 5%	3 3%	2 2%	5 4%	5 5%	1 1%	3 3%	3 3%	2 2%	30 3%	2 2%	1 1%
Rented from someone else	90 9%	3 7%	6 7%	1 1%	7 8%	12 9%	26 21%	12 13%	3 6%	7 7%	7 6%	6 7%	81 9%	6 7%	3 6%
Rent free	7 1%	1 1%	- -	- -	* *	* *	1 1%	1 2%	3 6%	- -	- -	- -	4 *	- -	3 6%
Refused	61 6%	2 4%	5 6%	6 8%	7 7%	13 10%	9 7%	5 5%	2 3%	4 4%	6 5%	4 4%	56 6%	4 4%	2 3%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	East-ern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
<b><u>Foreign Holiday in last 3 years</u></b>															
Yes	620 62%	30 66%	47 53%	47 61%	64 68%	79 62%	86 68%	55 60%	23 44%	59 64%	76 65%	55 63%	542 63%	55 63%	23 44%
No	380 38%	15 34%	41 47%	30 39%	30 32%	49 38%	40 32%	36 40%	30 56%	34 36%	42 35%	32 37%	318 37%	32 37%	30 56%
<b><u>Number of cars</u></b>															
None	202 20%	12 26%	14 16%	17 22%	18 19%	16 13%	47 37%	14 15%	13 25%	13 14%	22 18%	16 19%	173 20%	16 19%	13 25%
1	414 41%	17 38%	46 52%	28 36%	32 34%	48 37%	55 44%	38 41%	13 25%	41 44%	61 52%	35 41%	366 43%	35 41%	13 25%
2	293 29%	14 30%	23 26%	22 29%	31 33%	41 32%	18 14%	30 33%	18 34%	30 33%	32 27%	34 39%	241 28%	34 39%	18 34%
3+	91 9%	2 5%	5 6%	10 13%	13 14%	23 18%	6 5%	10 11%	9 17%	8 9%	3 2%	1 1%	81 9%	1 1%	9 17%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	East-ern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scot-land	Eng-land	Scot-land	Wales
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
<b><u>Internet Access</u></b>															
At all	850 85%	37 82%	72 82%	69 89%	77 82%	118 92%	111 88%	78 85%	44 84%	76 82%	91 78%	76 88%	729 85%	76 88%	44 84%
At home (net)	801 80%	33 72%	67 76%	68 88%	71 76%	106 83%	107 85%	78 85%	42 79%	72 77%	88 75%	70 81%	690 80%	70 81%	42 79%
At work (net)	397 40%	17 37%	28 32%	29 37%	38 40%	59 46%	53 42%	36 40%	18 34%	39 43%	48 41%	33 38%	346 40%	33 38%	18 34%
At home	432 43%	18 39%	42 48%	40 51%	38 41%	53 41%	56 45%	42 46%	24 45%	34 37%	43 37%	42 48%	366 43%	42 48%	24 45%
At work	28 3%	2 4%	3 4%	* 1%	5 5%	5 4%	2 2%	- -	- -	2 2%	3 3%	5 6%	23 3%	5 6%	- -
Both at home and at work	369 37%	15 33%	25 28%	28 37%	33 35%	53 42%	50 40%	36 40%	18 34%	38 41%	45 38%	28 32%	323 38%	28 32%	18 34%
Somewhere else	21 2%	3 6%	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	6 5%	2 2%	- -	3 5%	2 3%	- -	1 2%	17 2%	1 2%	3 5%
Not at all	150 15%	8 18%	16 18%	9 11%	17 18%	11 8%	15 12%	13 15%	9 16%	17 18%	26 22%	11 12%	131 15%	11 12%	9 16%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Region											Region Summary			
	Total	North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	East-ern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scotland	England	Scotland	Wales
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
<b>What is the highest educational level that you have achieved to date?</b>															
Secondary/ high school/ NVQ 1-3	518 52%	27 59%	43 49%	46 59%	58 62%	63 49%	52 41%	48 53%	22 41%	58 63%	56 48%	45 52%	451 52%	45 52%	22 41%
University degree or equivalent professional qualification/ NVQ4	268 27%	11 24%	22 24%	17 22%	18 20%	33 26%	43 35%	26 28%	19 35%	19 21%	39 33%	21 24%	228 27%	21 24%	19 35%
Higher university degree/ Doctorate/ MBA/ NVQ 5 or equivalent	82 8%	1 1%	5 5%	5 6%	6 6%	12 10%	18 15%	6 7%	6 12%	6 6%	6 5%	12 14%	64 7%	12 14%	6 12%
No formal qualifications	90 9%	6 12%	16 18%	6 7%	8 9%	12 10%	5 4%	9 10%	5 9%	6 6%	11 10%	6 7%	79 9%	6 7%	5 9%
None of these	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 1%	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -
Refused	41 4%	2 4%	3 4%	4 5%	3 3%	7 5%	7 5%	2 2%	2 3%	2 3%	6 5%	4 4%	36 4%	4 4%	2 3%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Total	Region										Region Summary			
		North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	East-ern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scot-land	Eng-land	Scot-land	Wales
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
<b><u>Marital Status</u></b>															
Single	203 20%	8 18%	14 16%	28 36%	14 15%	31 24%	32 25%	15 17%	10 18%	19 21%	15 13%	17 20%	177 21%	17 20%	10 18%
Married/ co-habiting	551 55%	23 52%	49 56%	36 46%	53 56%	66 52%	67 53%	55 61%	35 65%	50 54%	63 54%	54 62%	463 54%	54 62%	35 65%
Widowed/ separated/ divorced	193 19%	12 26%	20 23%	10 13%	21 22%	21 16%	19 15%	17 19%	6 11%	21 23%	33 28%	13 15%	174 20%	13 15%	6 11%
Refused	52 5%	2 4%	4 5%	4 6%	6 6%	10 8%	8 7%	4 4%	3 5%	2 3%	6 5%	3 4%	46 5%	3 4%	3 5%
<b><u>Children</u></b>															
None aged 18 or under	638 64%	28 63%	56 63%	63 81%	65 69%	73 57%	81 64%	55 60%	32 61%	59 64%	74 63%	52 60%	554 64%	52 60%	32 61%
NET: Yes	310 31%	16 35%	30 34%	11 14%	23 24%	40 31%	38 30%	34 37%	19 36%	31 34%	38 32%	30 35%	260 30%	30 35%	19 36%
NET: Yes any aged 15 or under	264 26%	12 27%	25 29%	10 13%	17 18%	29 22%	34 27%	31 34%	18 34%	28 30%	30 25%	30 34%	216 25%	30 34%	18 34%
- Aged under 5	114 11%	4 9%	9 10%	5 6%	6 7%	8 6%	11 9%	14 16%	7 14%	15 17%	16 14%	18 20%	89 10%	18 20%	7 14%
- Aged 5-10	125 13%	11 25%	10 11%	7 9%	5 6%	14 11%	19 15%	18 19%	7 14%	11 12%	13 11%	10 12%	108 13%	10 12%	7 14%
- Aged 11-15	110 11%	4 9%	14 16%	1 2%	11 12%	13 10%	18 15%	11 12%	6 12%	15 16%	9 8%	8 9%	96 11%	8 9%	6 12%
- Aged 16-18	81 8%	4 8%	9 11%	4 6%	10 11%	15 12%	8 7%	7 7%	4 8%	6 6%	12 10%	1 1%	75 9%	1 1%	4 8%
Refused	52 5%	1 2%	3 3%	4 5%	6 7%	15 12%	7 5%	2 2%	2 3%	2 3%	6 5%	4 5%	46 5%	4 5%	2 3%

## Theft & Vandalism Survey

### CATI Fieldwork : 2nd-4th September 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13  
**Classification**  
**Base: All respondents**

	Region											Region Summary			
	Total	North East	Yorks & Humb.	East Midlands	East-ern	South East	London	South West	Wales	West Midlands	North West	Scot-land	Eng-land	Scot-land	Wales
Weighted base	1000	45	88	78	94	128	126	91	53	93	118	87	860	87	53
<b><u>Grocery shopping status</u></b>															
Yes, solely responsible	444 44%	25 55%	34 39%	25 32%	48 51%	48 37%	49 39%	43 47%	23 44%	49 53%	61 52%	38 44%	382 44%	38 44%	23 44%
Responsible for more than half (but not all)	106 11%	1 3%	17 19%	9 11%	6 6%	18 14%	23 19%	7 7%	5 10%	5 6%	3 3%	11 13%	89 10%	11 13%	5 10%
About half	179 18%	7 17%	10 11%	17 21%	14 15%	27 21%	25 20%	24 26%	10 20%	10 11%	20 17%	15 17%	154 18%	15 17%	10 20%
Less than half	83 8%	1 3%	12 13%	2 3%	6 6%	10 8%	7 6%	7 8%	3 6%	10 10%	14 12%	10 12%	69 8%	10 12%	3 6%
None - someone else does it	155 15%	9 21%	13 14%	21 27%	18 19%	20 16%	15 12%	8 9%	9 16%	17 19%	15 13%	10 11%	136 16%	10 11%	9 16%
Don't know	34 3%	1 2%	3 3%	4 5%	2 2%	5 4%	7 5%	2 2%	2 3%	1 1%	4 3%	3 4%	29 3%	3 4%	2 3%



	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
	1	1	Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? Summary Table	Base: All respondents	1000
	2	2	Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? People who have committed offences such as theft or vandalism should be required as part of their sentence to do unpaid work in the community to pay back for what they have done	Base: All respondents	1000
	3	2	Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? People who have committed offences such as theft or vandalism should be required as part of their sentence to do unpaid work in the community to pay back for what they have done	Base: All respondents	1000
	4	3	Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? Victims of theft and vandalism should be given the opportunity to inform offenders of the harm and distress they have caused	Base: All respondents	1000
	5	3	Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? Victims of theft and vandalism should be given the opportunity to inform offenders of the harm and distress they have caused	Base: All respondents	1000
	6	4	Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? Victims should have a say in how the offender can best make amends for the harm they have caused (by make amends we mean show remorse and work to put things right)	Base: All respondents	1000
	7	4	Q.1 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? Victims should have a say in how the offender can best make amends for the harm they have caused (by make amends we mean show remorse and work to put things right)	Base: All respondents	1000
	8	5	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Summary Table	Base: All respondents	1000
	9	6	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Better supervision of young people by parents	Base: All respondents	1000
	10	6	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Better supervision of young people by parents	Base: All respondents	1000
	11	7	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Unpaid community work	Base: All respondents	1000
	12	7	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Unpaid community work	Base: All respondents	1000

Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
13	8	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? A prison sentence	Base: All respondents	1000
14	8	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? A prison sentence	Base: All respondents	1000
15	9	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Treatment to tackle binge drinking	Base: All respondents	1000
16	9	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Treatment to tackle binge drinking	Base: All respondents	1000
17	10	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Treatment to tackle drug addiction	Base: All respondents	1000
18	10	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Treatment to tackle drug addiction	Base: All respondents	1000
19	11	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Better mental healthcare	Base: All respondents	1000
20	11	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Better mental healthcare	Base: All respondents	1000
21	12	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Making amends to victims	Base: All respondents	1000
22	12	Q.2 How effective, if at all, do you think each of the following would be in preventing crime and disorder? Making amends to victims	Base: All respondents	1000
23	13	Classification / Gender / Age	Base: All respondents	1000
24	13	Classification / Social Grade / Region	Base: All respondents	1000
25	13	Classification / Working status	Base: All respondents	1000
26	13	Classification / Tenure	Base: All respondents	1000

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
	27	13	Classification / Foreign Holiday in last 3 years / Number of cars	Base: All respondents	1000
	28	13	Classification / Internet Access	Base: All respondents	1000
	29	13	Classification / What is the highest educational level that you have achieved to date?	Base: All respondents	1000
	30	13	Classification / Marital Status / Children	Base: All respondents	1000
	31	13	Classification / Grocery shopping status	Base: All respondents	1000
	32	13	Classification / Gender / Age	Base: All respondents	1000
	33	13	Classification / Social Grade / Region	Base: All respondents	1000
	34	13	Classification / Working status	Base: All respondents	1000
	35	13	Classification / Tenure	Base: All respondents	1000
	36	13	Classification / Foreign Holiday in last 3 years / Number of cars	Base: All respondents	1000
	37	13	Classification / Internet Access	Base: All respondents	1000
	38	13	Classification / What is the highest educational level that you have achieved to date?	Base: All respondents	1000
	39	13	Classification / Marital Status / Children	Base: All respondents	1000

---

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
	40	13	Classification / Grocery shopping status	Base: All respondents	1000

---